Provided for non-commercial research and education use.

Not for reproduction, distribution or commercial use.



This article was published in an CASRP journal. The attached copy is furnished to the author for non-commercial research and education use, including for instruction at the authors institution, sharing with colleagues and providing to institution administration.

Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copied, or posting to personal, institutional or third party websites are prohibited.

In most cases authors are permitted to post their version of the article (e.g. in Word or Tex form) to their personal website or institutional repository. Authors requiring further information regarding CASRP's archiving and manuscript policies encouraged to visit:

http://www.casrp.co.uk/journals

© 2016 CASRP Publishing Company Ltd. UK.



Available online at www.casrp.co.uk/journals



International journal of Advanced Biological and Biomedical Research 4(2) (2016) 174–178



# **Case Study**

**Open Access** 

# Analyzing factors that effecting on rangeland degradation (case of study: District of Pirtaj)

# Maisam Rafe<sup>1</sup>, Bahman Khosravipour<sup>2</sup>, Masoud Baradaran<sup>3</sup>, Mansour Ghanian<sup>4</sup>, Sayed Ali Moosavi<sup>5</sup>, Maryam Roozbahani<sup>6,\*</sup>

<sup>1,5</sup>Post Graduate Students of Agricultural Education, Ramin Agricultural and Natural Resources University, Ahvaz, Iran.

<sup>2,3,4</sup>Associate Professor of Department of Agricultural Extension and Education, Ramin Agricultural and Natural Resources University, Ahvaz, Iran.

<sup>6</sup>Post Graduate Student of Agricultural Biotechnology, Azad University, Tehran, Iran.

#### Abstract

The purpose of this studywas to Analyzing factors that effecting on rangeland degradation. Statistical population of this research consisted of whole farmer in Pirtaj district (N= 1600); from that 120 farmer were selected as sample using cluster sampling. The main tool to collect data was a researcher made questionnaire that Content validity of the questionnaire was approved, by a panel of experts and its reliability was confirmed by calculating Cronbach's alpha coefficient ( $\alpha \ge 0.74$ - 0.82). Data was analyzed by SPSS software. Results of correlation analysis indicated a positive statistically significant relationship between rainfed land with personal ownership, number of livestock and rangeland degradation. Also, there are negative and significant relationships between irrigated lands with personal ownership, garden size, and rangeland degradation. Results of stepwise regression showed that in generally garden size, amount of rainfed land with personal ownership, and amount of irrigated lands with personal ownership, has been defined 35.00% of rangeland degradation variances.

© 2016 Published by CASRP publishing company Ltd. UK. Selection and/or peer-review under responsibility of Center of Advanced Scientific Research and Publications Ltd. UK.

Keywords: Rangeland degradation, Livestock, Grazing, Rainfall, Irrigate lands.

\* Corresponding author: Post Graduate Student of Agricultural Biotechnology, Azad University, Tehran, Iran.

<sup>© 2016</sup> The Authors. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial- No Derives License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made.

| Received 19 March 2016              | Accepted 18 April 2016        | Available online 25 April 2016 |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| iThenticate screening 22 March 2016 | English editing 15 April 2016 | Quality control 21 April 2016  |

#### 1. Introduction

Rangeland is one of the natural renewable resources in every country that has a key role in water production, soil conservation, feed production, wildlife habitat, air clean-up, and etc (Arzani et al., 2009). Nowadays, despite implementation the rangeland management, continually exploit of rangeland without regardlessto the basic sustainability principles, irregular exploitation and use of rangeland over than rangeland's productive capacity led to rangeland degradation in this century (Azkia, 1996). Several factors led to quantitative and qualitative negative changes in natural resources that ultimately cause to intensive degradation of soil and vegetation reduce beneficiary income, destructive flooding, migrated of villagers and nomads to the urban place and in end the beneficiary poverty (Ghorbani et al., 2011). In this regard, several studies have been done to exploration factors that effecting on degradation of rangeland in different aspects; such as Kaviani et al. (2011) in their research concluded that the most important factors that effect on rangeland's degradation arelarge numbers of livestock, early grazing, cultivation and transform rangeland to farm.

Rafsanjani firouzi (2009) showed that in perspective of villagers the intense grazing of livestock, long-time grazing, transform rangeland to farm, early grazing and finally increasing number of livestock are important factors that affected on rangeland degradation. Ghorbani et al. (2011) concluded that the intense livestock in rangeland, early grazing, cultivation, rangeland transformto farm and then declared vegetation are important factors on rangeland degradation. Ansari (2007) in his study classified twenty variables that effect on rangeland degradation in three factors: (1) factors that related to livestock, (2) factors that related to destroy the vegetation cover, and (3) factors that related to change of use. He argued those factors that related to livestock have most effect on rangeland degradation in compare another factors.

O'Conner et al. (1995) analyzed the effect of rainfall and grazing on vegetation change during 1949 until 1971 in South Africa. They argued that change of vegetation more influenced by rainfall butin the long term, the grazing have more effect. Pabbot (1969) stated that the large number of livestock, transform livestock to farm, intensive grazing, and imbalance between numbers of livestock and rangeland capacity are the main factors that effect on rangeland degradation in Iran. Sabeti (1997) emphasis of grazing, extend the farm lands, and fires are the important factor in rangeland degradation. Bajian and Mehrabi (1994) in their research showed that the first factor in rangeland degradation is people and second factor is livestock. Based on what we told, the purposeof this studywas to Analyzing factors that effecting on rangeland degradation.

#### 2. Methodology

This research in its nature is a quantitative; in terms of objective is an applied and in term of statically is descriptive-correlation. The purpose of this studywas to Analyzing factors that effecting on rangeland degradation. Statistical population of this research consisted of whole farmer in Pirtaj district (N= 1600); from that 120 farmer were selected as sample using cluster sampling. The main tool to collect data was a researcher made questionnaire that Content validity of the questionnaire was approved, by a panel of experts and its reliability was confirmed by calculating Cronbach's alpha coefficient ( $\alpha \ge 0.74$ - 0.82). Finally, Data was analyzed by SPSS software.

#### 3. Results and discussion

The results of descriptive statistics show that 97.50% of beneficiaries were man and only 2.50% were women. In term of education more than 85% of the responsible are illiterate and other have primary degree (Table 1). In table 2, has been shown the total amount of farmers' rainfed lands, irrigated lands, and fallow lands. According to this table it becomes clear that distribution of dry lands between farmers is inappropriate. Also, each farmer on average transforms 1.12 of rangeland to rain-fed lands.

Findings showed that (table 3) in the exploitation withpersonal ownership the extent of field to gain more profit, don't have any incomeexcept of agriculture, competition between farmers, and lack of knowledge about rangeland's role in the human life, were important factors that effect on rangeland degradation.

Findings showed that (table 4) in the rental exploitation the don't have any income except of agriculture, extent of field to gain more profit, obtain the consent of the owner, competition between farmers and lack of knowledge about rangeland's role in the human life were important factors that effect on rangeland degradation.

| Personal characteristic of the study population. |                |           |         |                    |  |
|--|----------------|-----------|---------|--------------------|--|
|  | Variable       | Frequency | Percent | Cumulative percent |  |
| Condor   | Man            | 117       | 97.50   | 97.50              |  |
| Gender   | Women          | 3         | 2.50    | 100.00             |  |
|  | Illiterate     | 60        | 50.00   | 50.00              |  |
| Loval of   | Primary degree | 42        | 35.00   | 85.00              |  |
| Lever or   | Middle degree  | 9         | 7.50    | 92.50              |  |
| degree   | Diploma        | 6         | 5.00    | 97.50              |  |
|  | B.S            | 3         | 2.50    | 100/00             |  |

| Table 1   |
|---|
| Personal characteristic of the study population |

# Table 2

Frequency distributions of farmers lands based on type of land.

|      | Total rainfed lands under<br>cultivated | Total irrigated  lands under<br>cultivated | Totalfallow rainfedlands | Total fallow irrigated lands | Fallow rainfed lands with<br>national ownership | Fallow irrigated lands with<br>national ownership | Rainfedlandunder<br>cultivationwithnational<br>ownership | irrigated landunder<br>cultivationwithnational<br>ownership |
|------|---|--|--------------------------|------------------------------|---|---|--|---|
| Mean | 24.80                                   | 3.73                                       | 16.89                    | 0.73                         | 0.48  | 0.00  | 1.12   | 0.00  |
| S.D  | 22.49                                   | 2.96                                       | 16.85                    | 0.25                         | 0.47  | 0.00  | 1  | 0.00  |

# Table 3

Ranking of factors that effect on rangeland degradation in personal exploitation.

| Factors  | Mean of 4 | S.D  | C.V  | Rank |
|--|-----------|------|------|------|
| Extent of field to gain more profit                        | 2.90      | 0.30 | 0.10 | 1    |
| Don't have any income except of agriculture                | 2.80      | 0.68 | 0.24 | 2    |
| Competition between farmers                                | 2.47      | 0.74 | 0.30 | 3    |
| Lack of knowledge about rangeland's role in the human life | 1.62      | 0.91 | 0.56 | 4    |

# Table 3

Ranking of factors that effect on rangeland degradation in rental exploitation.

| Factors  | Mean of 4 | S.D  | C.V  | Rank |
|--|-----------|------|------|------|
| Don't have any income except of agriculture                | 2.42      | 0.83 | 0.34 | 1    |
| Extent of field to gain more profit                        | 1.92      | 0.75 | 0.39 | 2    |
| Obtain the consent of the owner                            | 1.97      | 1.06 | 0.53 | 3    |
| Competition between farmers                                | 1.65      | 0.91 | 0.55 | 4    |
| Lack of knowledge about rangeland's role in the human life | 1.42      | 0.83 | 0.58 | 5    |

Spearman's correlation coefficientwas used to clarify the relationship between the variables that effect on rangeland degradation. Results showed that a positive and significant relationship between rainfed land with personal ownership, number of livestock and rangeland degradation. Also, there are negative and significant

relationships between irrigated lands with personal ownership, garden size, and rangeland degradation. Based on these findings, we can conclude that rangeland degradationwasinfluenced by these factors (Table 4).

| Table 5  |                                |                    |  |  |
|--|--------------------------------|--------------------|--|--|
| Correlation analysis between variables that effect on rangeland degradation. |                                |                    |  |  |
| Variables  | <b>Correlation coefficient</b> | Significance level |  |  |
| Garden size  | -0.459                         | 0.00               |  |  |
| Amount of rainfed land with  | 0.414                          | 0.00               |  |  |
| personal ownership   | 0.414                          | 0.00               |  |  |
| Amount of irrigated landswith  | 0262                           | 0.00               |  |  |
| personal ownership   | -0302                          | 0.00               |  |  |
| Number of livestock  | 0.223                          | 0.01               |  |  |

Stepwise regression was applied to identify the role of each factor on rangelands degradation. Results showed that in generally garden size, Amount of rainfed land with personal ownership, and Amount of irrigated lands with personal ownership, has been defined 35.00% of rangeland degradation variances (Table 5).

| Table 5   |                         |                    |              |
|---|-------------------------|--------------------|--------------|
| Results of stepwise regression.                               |                         |                    |              |
| Variables   | В                       | Beta               | t            |
| Constant coefficient  | 0.725                   |                    | 3.58**       |
| garden sizeX1   | 102                     | -0.39              | -5.19**      |
| Amount of rainfed land with personal ownership X <sub>2</sub> | 0.01                    | 0.405              | 4.91**       |
| Amount of irrigated lands with<br>personal ownership X₃       | -0.08                   | -0.21              | -2.60**      |
|   | F= 43.629 <sup>**</sup> | $R^2_{Adj} = 0.33$ | $R^2 = 0.35$ |

\*\*significant at the 0.01 level.

According to stepwise regression outputs, the research equation presented in below.  $Y=0.725-0.102X_1+0.01X_2-0.08X_3$ 

Rangeland is one of the natural renewable resources in every country that has a key role in water production, soil conservation, feed production, wildlife habitat, air clean-up, and etc. Nonetheless, several factors led to quantitative and qualitative negative changes in natural resources. Therefore, the purposeof this studywas to Analyzing factors that effecting on rangeland degradation. Findings showed that in the exploitation with personal ownership the extent of field to gain more profit, don't have any income except of agriculture, competition between farmers, and lack of knowledge about rangeland's role in the human life, were important factors that effect on rangeland degradation. These finding confirmed the researches' results of Ansary (2007), Sabeti (1997), Kaviani et al. (2011) and Rfsanjanifirouzi (2011). Moreover, Results correlation coefficient showed that there was positive and significant relationship between rainfed land with personal ownership, number of livestock and rangeland degradation. Also, there have been negative and significant relationships between irrigated lands with personal ownership, garden size, and rangeland degradation. Therefore, based on these finding suggested that:

- ✓ Responsible organization attempt to extension gardening in rural areaand help to farmers for created the garden based on scientific principles.
- ✓ Rangeland and forest organization should be considered budgeting for created balance between rangeland capacity and number of livestock.

# References

Ansari, N., 2007. Determine of the effective factors of natural resources and their share- Research Institute of Forests and Rangelands – Research design report, 132.

Azkia, M., 1996. Social dimension of rangelandoperational management in Iran (with emphases on Fars and Kohgilouie and Bouierahmad province). J. Soc. Sci., 8, 1-23.

- Bajian, G., Mehrabi, A.A., 1994. Rural natural resources degradation reasons and rehabitation methods in villager's aspect, first rangeland and rengemanagement seminar proceeding Esfahan.
- Firouzabadi, R., Abkar, A., Ahmadi, R., 2011. Analyzing of factorseffecting on rangeland's vegetation degradation in watersheds of Kerman province. Fifth conference of watershed and water and soil management, Kerman.

Ghorbani, A., Bagheri, A., Dabiri, R., Kavianpour, R., Rahimi, N., Khodaii, H., Meftahi, S., Khajuii, N., 2011. Analyzing economic and social factors that effected on rangeland degradation in watershed of Saghezchi Chai of Namin. First national conference of agricultural new science and innovative, Zanjan University.

- O'Conner, T.G., Roux, P.W., 1995. Vegetation changes (1949-1971) in a semi-arid, grassydawrfshrublands in the karoo, South Africa: Influence of rain fall variability and grazing by sheep. J. Appl. Ecol., 32, 612-626.
- Pabbot, H., 1969. Iran rangelands rehabitation and improve by botanical and ecological studies. Translated by sheidaei, G. Natural resource Ministry publishing, Tehran, 219.

Sabeti, H., 1997. Iran Forests, Franklin Institute publishing, Tehran.

- Tabatabaei, M., Malekpour, B., 1985. A summary about natural resources management of agros region, hand writing, for Zagros natural resources management seminar.
- Yorks, T.P., West, N.E., Capels, K.M., 1992. Vegetation differences in desert Shrublands of West Utah, Spine valley between 1933 and 1989. J. Rang. Manag., 45(6), 577-589.

| How to cite this article: Rafe, M., Khosravipour, B.,<br>Baradaran, M., Ghanian, M., Moosavi, S.A.,<br>Roozbahani, M., 2016. Analyzing factors that<br>effecting on rangeland degradation (case of study:<br>District of Pirtaj). International journal of Advanced<br>Biological and Biomedical Research, 4(2), 174-178. | Submit your next manuscript to CASRP Central<br>and take full advantage of:<br>• Convenient online submission<br>• Thorough peer review<br>• No space constraints or color figure charges<br>• Immediate publication on acceptance<br>• Inclusion in Google Scholar<br>• Research which is freely available for<br>redistribution<br>Submit your manuscript at<br>www.casrp.co.uk/journals |
|---|--|
|---|--|